I've been trying to solve these for a while now, if anyone can figure them out it would be much appreciated.   
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_   
Instructions:   
  
1. Construct a formal proof of validity for the following arguments.   
2. Attend carefully to instructions attached to specific arguments.   
3. If a specific argument’s conclusion presents a “=” as its main connective, employ the parallel method of proof, recalling that alteration of the conclusion is restricted to the Rule of Replacement, which now includes Absorption (Abs.).   
  
For example, given the argument in our text, Exercise E-18 on page 417:   
  
J v (~J • K)   
J > L   
//therefore (L • J) = J   
  
The conclusion should be designated as //therefore C1 (L • J) = J when beginning the proof. At least one further step must be taken (at C2) to comply with the instructions.   
  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_   
1. Without Modus Ponens   
M > W   
M   
//therefore (W • M) = M  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_   
  
2. Without Exportation   
A > (D > K)   
//therefore (A • D) > K , K < (A • D)  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_   
  
3. No Rule Exceptions   
T = (L > K)   
~ N • K   
//therefore T = N  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_   
  
4. No Rule Exceptions   
M = W   
//therefore M = (M • W) , W = M  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_   
  
5. All political projects offer evidence to the effect that if the people prosper then the people do not prosper. The people do not prosper.   
  
Key: P = The people prosper.  
\_\_\_\_Q = The people do not prosper.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
  
Answers can be typed   
or written by hand then scanned/picture by phone.